Attachment 2 – Appendix A - State Environmental Planning Policy (Industry and Employment) 2021 Assessment

<u>Chapter 3 – Advertising and signage</u>

Part 3.2 Signage generally

As per Section 3.6 of Part 3.2 of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Industry and Employment) 2021 a consent authority must not grant development consent to an application to display signage (including business/building identification signs) unless the consent authority is satisfied:

- that the signage is consistent with the objectives of this Chapter as set out in section 3.1(1)(a), and
- that the signage the subject of the application satisfies the assessment criteria specified in Schedule 5.

The proposed signage comprises of:

- Two (2) non-illuminated building identification signs 'Hilltop Childcare Centre' approximately 1.2m x 3.8m to the third and fourth storeys along both street elevations.

The aims and objectives of Chapter 3 are as follows:

- (a) to ensure that signage (including advertising)—
 - (i) is compatible with the desired amenity and visual character of an area, and
 - (ii) provides effective communication in suitable locations, and
 - (iii) is of high quality design and finish, and
- (b) to regulate signage (but not content) under Part 4 of the Act, and
- (c) to provide time-limited consents for the display of certain advertisements, and
- (d) to regulate the display of advertisements in transport corridors, and
- (e) to ensure that public benefits may be derived from advertising in and adjacent to transport corridors.

<u>Comment:</u> The proposed signage is compatible with the desired amenity and visual character of an area without compromising vehicle safety along Great Western Highway and Joyner Street. The proposed signage provides effective communication in suitable locations and is of high quality design and finish.

A comprehensive assessment against the assessment criteria specified in Schedule 5 is contained in below:

| Schedule 5 – Assessment Criteria | Comments | Compliance |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------|
| the existing or desired future | The proposal is compatible with the E3 Productivity Support zoning of the site. The signage | Yes |
| character of the area or locality in | comprises business identification signage and is | |

| which it is proposed to be located? | permitted with consent under the E3 Zone. | |
|---|---|-----|
| Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality? | The proposed signage is consistent with the established signage within a corner location setting along the Great Western Highway. | Yes |
| Special areas Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas? | The site is not in proximity to any environmentally sensitive areas, waterways, rural landscapes, open space areas. The proposed signage does not detract from the heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas or the established residential area. | Yes |
| Views and vistas Does the proposal obscure or compromise important views? | All business identification signs are fixed to the facades located at the eastern corner and southern elevations of the building which face the streetscapes. There are no important views across the site. | Yes |
| Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas? | These signs are of a height and scale which does not dominate the skyline. | Yes |
| Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers? | The signage is limited to the site and respects the viewing rights of other advertisers. | Yes |
| Streetscape, setting or landscape Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape, setting or landscape? | The proposed signage is of a scale consistent with the existing setting of the site. | Yes |
| Does the proposal contribute to the visual interest of the streetscape, setting or landscape? | The proposed signage contributes positively to the visual interest along the streetscapes. | Yes |
| Does the proposal reduce clutter by rationalising and simplifying existing advertising? | The proposed signage reduces clutter along the streetscapes. | Yes |
| Does the proposal screen unsightliness? | The proposed signage does not contribute to unsightliness along the streetscapes. | Yes |

| | l , , , , , , | \ <u>'</u> |
|--|--|------------|
| Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area or locality? | 1. | Yes |
| Does the proposal require ongoing vegetation management? | ongoing vegetation management. | Yes |
| Site and building Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located? | The signs are proportionate to the buildings on the site. | Yes |
| Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both? | The signs are site responsive. | Yes |
| Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site or building, or both? | 1 | Yes |
| Associated devices and logos with advertisements and advertising structures Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed? | No safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos have been designed as an integral part of the signage. | N/A |
| Illumination Would illumination result in unacceptable glare? Would illumination affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft? Would illumination detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation? Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary? Is the illumination subject to a curfew? | None of the signage is proposed to be illuminated. | N/A |
| Safety Would the proposal reduce the safety for any public road? | The proposed signage does not have the potential to reduce the | Yes |

| | safety of Great Western Highway or Joyner Street. | |
|---|--|-----|
| Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians or bicyclists? | The signage is affixed to the building so as not to adversely impact the safety of pedestrians and cyclists. | Yes |
| · · | , , | Yes |